

CRM-M-22451-2016

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.296)

CRM-M-22451-2016
Date of Decision:-April 18, 2017

Chamkaur Singh and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.87 dated 23.04.2015 registered under Sections 419/420/465/467/468/471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2 state that compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had made an order dated January 18, 2017 directing the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur, showing that the statements of the

CRM-M-22451-2016

parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.87 dated 23.04.2015 registered under Sections 419/420/465/467/468/471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

April 18, 2017
Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No