

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21471 of 2017

Date of decision: June 15, 2017

Rahul

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Pradeep Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 28.04.2017. He contends that all other co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail. His further contention is that it is a conceded position that no MLR is in the police file. Apart from that, he contends that as per the FIR, petitioner was carrying a rifle butt of which was used to cause an injury on the head of Mandeep and there were two fire shots from his rifle but the recovery which has been effected from the petitioner is that of a country-made pistol. He, thus, contends that the case of the prosecution is on a very weak footing and in fact, is a concocted story. He, therefore, prays for the concession of bail.

Learned counsel for the State could not refute the contentions which have been made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above and keeping in view the fact that there is no MLR and further, no recovery of rifle has been effected from the

petitioner and the trial is not likely to conclude soon, petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sonipat.

Petition stands allowed.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

June 15, 2017
m.sharma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No