

**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2143 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.03.2017**

Sanjay and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek regular bail in FIR No.202 dated 22.12.2016 registered under Sections 379-B, 411 IPC, at Police Station Doraha, District Ludhiana.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioners, learned counsel submits that the petitioners are young men of about 20 years; they have no other case pending against them; they have been in custody since 23.12.2016 and the trial which is at the inception stage, is unlikely to conclude in the near future.

Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioners on the ground that they have committed theft of a mobile phone.

After considering the afore-referred submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that the petitioners deserve to be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

In case, the petitioners are found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the Competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted bail to the petitioners through this order.

March 02, 2017

rittu

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No