

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

201

FAO No.76 of 1996(O&M)

Date of decision: 7.7.2017

PREM NATH

.....appellant

Versus

RANDHIR SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for
Mr.Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

Vide order dated 23.4.2014, appeal was adjourned *sine die* and was to be listed as and when application for impleading LR's of deceased-appellant is moved. Thereafter, case was listed in motion hearing after informing the counsel for the parties. Despite number of adjournments on record, needful has not been done by the counsel for the appellant.

Today, learned counsel for the appellant has submitted at the bar that LR's of deceased-appellant have not turned up despite information sent to them. Faced with this situation, this Court has no alternate, but to dismiss the appeal for non prosecution.

Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 07, 2017

anita

Whether reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No