

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-22223 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 8, 2017

Amanpreet Kaur and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Ms.Harpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr.Navkiran Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.296 dated 1.11.2013 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station City Tarn Taran, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-5).

As per case of the prosecution, FIR was registered on the complaint by Ranjit Singh wherein he has alleged that the petitioners have committed fraud of ₹ 30 lacs with him. They agreed to sell some land vide agreement dated 21.9.2011 but later on, resiled.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has since been settled vide compromise, copy of which has been placed on file

as Annexure P-5.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed compromise (Annexure P-5).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 9.9.2016 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR No.296 dated 1.11.2013 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioners, is quashed.

May 8, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No