

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21322 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.07.2017

Sanjiv Singla

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.32 dated 01.11.2013, registered under Sections 415, 406, 409, 120-B read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Thulliwal, District Barnala.

It is contended that Rs.60 lakh deposited by the petitioner towards security is lying with the Department and the same could be adjusted towards his liability. The petitioner is in custody since 29.11.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the liability is more than Rs.1 crore.

The petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender on 05.11.2016 and subsequently could be arrested on 29.11.2016.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the second bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. Keeping in view the fact that the liability of the petitioner far exceeds the amount of security allegedly tendered by the petitioner, the Court is not inclined to consider the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in this context. There is no fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Considering the antecedents of the petitioner, the nature and gravity of offence, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.07.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No