

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CrM-M-21290-2017 &
CrM-M-21289-2017
Date of Decision:16.11.2017**

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Mann, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Damandeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

None appears on behalf of the petitioner again, though on an earlier date (25.08.2017), it had been recorded that learned State counsel on instructions had stated that the petitioner had joined investigation in both the cases registered against him (out of which these two petitions arise). Subsequently, however, he had stopped joining investigation and in fact is absconding.

However, the matter was still adjourned, as counsel for the complainant in CRM No.21289-2017 had not been clear about the facts of the case.

Today again even on second call, none has appeared for the petitioner and consequently, the aforesaid contention by the learned State counsel is obviously not disputed.

Therefore, the petitioner having been granted the concession of anticipatory bail in both these petitions but having stopped joining investigation, I see no reason to continue with the interim order granted to him.

These petitions are consequently dismissed and the interim orders are vacated.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

November 16, 2017
dharamvir

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO