

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-21283 of 2017

Date of decision: July 06, 2017

Sunil and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is a petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.222 dated 12.05.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, Haryana.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission for withdrawal of the petition qua petitioner No.1-Sunil.

Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No.1-Sunil.

However, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2-Amit.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner No.2-Amit shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 06, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No