

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22084-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.11.2017

Varinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by HC Darshan Kumar.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.38 dated 17.03.2016, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

Heard.

On 01.07.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners are ready to join the investigation. He further states that petitioners are ready to return all the articles, if any.

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, complainant is ordered to be impleaded as party-respondent.

Amended Memo of Parties be filed during the course of the day.

On his doing so, let notice be issued to the respondents for 05.09.2016.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and if they are sought to be arrested, they shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions laid down in section 438 sub section 2 clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Contentends that petitioner No.1 is the brother-in-law (*jeth*), whereas, petitioners No.2 and 3 are the parents-in-law of the complainant. The marriage between the parties was solemnized on 22.03.2009. The petitioners have joined the investigation in terms of the orders passed by this Court and the recovery stands effected. The co-accused/husband of the complainant has been admitted to regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, though the petitioners have joined the investigation and the household articles and Alto car have been recovered, but the recovery of gold articles is yet to be effected.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that twice the inquiry was conducted and the petitioners along with the co-accused were inducted on both the occasions.

Keeping in view the facts that the petitioners have regularly joined the investigation and co-accused/husband of the complainant has already been admitted to bail, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated

01.07.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

27.11.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
-------------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----