

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.21113 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.06.2017**

Amit Lamba

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.532 dated 01.07.2016 registered under Sections 384, 406, 420, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case as the incident took place in the year 2013 while the FIR was lodged in the year 2016. The petitioner is behind the bar since 27.09.2016 and challan has also been presented. He further submits that there are six FIRs registered against the petitioner at the behest of Narender Malik, which in the relevant extract read as follows:

“The cases pending against the petitioner are FIR No.257 dated 02.06.2014 at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak (in which petitioner has been granted bail vide order dated 05.09.2016); another FIR No.693 dated 02.10.2016 at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak (in which petitioner has been granted bail by learned Addl. Sessions Judge vide order dated

26.04.2017); one more FIR No.214 of 2014 at Police Station Tilak Marg, New Delhi (in which petitioner has also been granted bail vide order dated 09.02.2017) and other three consecutive FIRs No.532, 533 and 534 dated 01.07.2016 at Police Station Police Lines, Rohtak ((including present FIR).”

Learned State counsel has placed on record the status of all the cases, which is taken on record and has opposed the prayer for bail.

The offence alleged to be based on documents, which are traceable from documents/e-evidence.

Having heard counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that the prayer made in the present petition merits acceptance. Without making any observations on merits and keeping in view the age of the petitioner, he is held entitled to the benefit of bail. Petitioner, Amit Lamba be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Rohtak.

The present petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

09.06.2017

sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No