

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRL. MISC. No.M-22002 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 9th FEBRUARY, 2017

Amrita Gill & another

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner No.2.

Petitioner No.1- in person.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.7 & 8.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

This is petition by two petitioners. Petitioner No.1 is alleged wife while petitioner No.2 is alleged husband.

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner No.1 alleged wife does not want to come with the alleged husband but want to reside with her parents at Amritsar. Petitioner No.1 is present before the Court. She states that she would not like to go with petitioner No.2 but would like to go with her parents.

In view of the above the petition is rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

9th February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.