

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21088 of 2017
Date of Decision : 17.7.2017**

Vikas and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No.1 – Vikas has since joined the investigation whereas petitioner No.2 – Shubham could not do so.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sajjan Singh concedes the fact as regards petitioner No.1 – Vikas having joined investigation and handing over his mobile phone in question whereas regarding petitioner No.2 – Shubham, he states that such accused has not joined the investigation. Learned State counsel submits that accused Shubham is involved in FIR No.68 dated 9.7.2017, under Sections 148/149/323/302/506 IPC registered at Police Station Buria, District Yamuna Nagar and FIR No.142 dated 3.7.2017, under Sections 148/149/323/307/506 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna

Nagar. He further submits that petitioner No.1 is not required for further investigation.

As such pre-arrest bail granted to petitioner No.1 - Vikas vide order dated 5.6.2017 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. whereas since petitioner No.2 has not joined the investigation, as such keeping in view all the facts and circumstances the petition qua petitioner No.2 stands dismissed.

17.7.2017
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No