

241 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-21969 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 11.12.2017

Sukhpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

None for respondents No. 5, 6 and 8.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

..-

..-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit of Surinder Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, SD Maur, District Bathinda, on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4, filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

The petitioner is seeking protection of his life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No. 5 to 8 on account of some dispute.

On behalf of State, it is stated that a complaint was received from the petitioner. The inquiry was conducted. A complaint was also received from Bheem Singh (respondent No. 7 herein) against present petitioner, making some allegations. The inquiry was conducted. It is stated that on the statement of Sukhpal Singh (petitioner), FIR No. 47, dated 4.4.2016, under Sections 324, 323, 34 IPC has been registered against Bikramjit Singh alias Vicky etc. at Police Station Maur. However, no truth was found in the said complaint against petitioner and that there is no threat to the life and liberty of petitioner.

In view of action taken by official respondents, present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.12.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No