

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

206

CRM-M-21063-2017

DATE OF DECISION: 04.07.2017.

Dewan Chand and another

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE (DR) SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Chahal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shekeher Dhawan, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.56 dated 01.04.2017 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional Regulations Act, 2014 registered at Police Station Bhargo Camp.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the alleged payment of a sum of Rs.11,00,000/- was not made to the petitioners and it was made to Jaskaran Singh alias Jesse and the present petitioners were falsely implicated in the present case.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant contended that payment was made to the petitioners and the entire scene was videographed which was part of the investigation. Learned counsel for the complainant also placed on file document showing that the amount of Rs.5,00,000/- and Rs.3,95,000/- was withdrawn by Santokh Lal from his account

and thereafter, the payment was made to the petitioners.

Having considered all the above facts, and the fact that there is no exceptional reason for granting the petitioner concession of anticipatory bail. The present application seeking anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

04.07.2017.
komal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	YES/NO
Whether reportable	:	YES/NO