

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

207

CRM-M-21034 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION: 25.07.2017.

Rattan Pal

...Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for
Ms. Palak Dev, Advocate for the complainant.

Shekeher Dhawan, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.419 dated 05.07.2016 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Central, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is owner of 4 kanals and 11 marlas of land and he is in possession of the land which was sold to the complainant and he is already in custody for more than two months and decision of the case still to take some more time, so he be released on bail.

Learned State counsel filed detailed reply giving the particulars of the sale deed executed by the petitioner which are beyond his ownership of 4 kanals and 11 marlas and the land which was sold to the complainant was not owned by him. Learned State counsel contended that the petitioner

does not deserves the concession of regular bail and his bail application be dismissed.

Having considered these facts, this Court is of the view that though the petitioner is owner of 4 kanals and 11 marlas of land but he has sold land measuring 131.5 marla more than his ownership vide different sale deeds and even in some cases sale deeds were executed repeatedly and that is the allegation against him. The allegations are serious in nature and the fact that there is no exceptional reason for granting the petitioner concession of regular bail. Thus, the present application seeking regular bail stands dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

25.07.2017.

komal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	YES/NO
Whether reportable	:	YES/NO