

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21030-2017

Date of decision: July 14, 2017.

Pardeep Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri H.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

CRM-21318-2017 is allowed and Annexure P-12 is taken on record.

Main case

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.1 dated 1.1.2010, under Sections 308, 325, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender and this Court has set aside that order. He further submits that in the trial arising out of the said FIR, two accused have been convicted under Section 323 IPC and released on probation while one another accused has died. He therefore submits that the petitioner would join the trial and appear before the trial court.

In my opinion, looking to the fact that the FIR relates to the year 2010, there is no point in ensuring arrest of the petitioner particularly

because the Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would appear before the trial court and apply for regular bail. In that view of the mater, following order is passed:-

ORDER

(i) Petition for anticipatory bail is allowed to the satisfaction of the trial court.

July 14, 2017.
kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No