

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-21865 of 2016****Date of decision: July 31, 2017**

Sudha Chopra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Surjit @ Bholi and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In criminal complaint No.03 of 2014 dated 29.02.2014, titled as "Surjit @ Bholi versus Sudha Chopra and others", under Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, the petitioners seek anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 27.06.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official states that the petitioners have joined investigation and custody is not required.

Accordingly, the interim order dated 27.06.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****July 31, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No