

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-21813 of 2016****Date of decision: May 12, 2017**

Mamta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Nikunj Dhawan, Advocate for
Mr. M.S. Khillan, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.588 dated 08.06.2016, under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 21.07.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from the police official states that pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the mater, interim order dated 21.07.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****May 12, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No