

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-20890 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 01.09.2017**

Yad Ram

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.1244 dated 31.03.2017 under Sections 135/138/150 of Electricity Act, registered at Police Station I & P Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the raid was not conducted in the presence of petitioner and he is neither the consumer of the electricity meter, nor owner of the tubewell to which the alleged supply was being made. He further states that the petitioner has no connection whatsoever with the alleged installation of electric connection, viz. viz, the tubewell in question.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court, by the order dated 02.06.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from HC Dinesh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 02.06.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 02.06.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 01, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO