

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M- 20834 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : November 03, 2017

Parneet Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rathore, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 117 dated 18.03.2012 under Sections 498A, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar City, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

The abovesaid FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 due to matrimonial discord with her husband - petitioner. With the intervention of respectables and relatives, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, the terms of which were reduced into writing on 31.05.2017 Annexure P-3). The present petition has been filed on the basis of this compromise.

It is informed that petition under Section 13B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 ('the Act' – for short) filed by the petitioner and respondent No. 2 has since been allowed on 10.08.2017. A certified copy of the said judgment, decree as well as the statements of the parties recorded in the said proceedings, produced in Court today are taken on record subject to just exceptions.

This Court on 28.07.2017 directed the parties to appear before learned trial court for recording their statements in respect to the above-mentioned compromise. Learned trial court was directed to submit a report regarding the genuineness of the compromise, as to whether it has been arrived at out of the free will and volition of the parties without any coercion, fear or undue influence. Learned trial court was also directed to intimate whether the petitioner is absconding/proclaimed offender and whether any other case is pending against him. Information was sought as to whether all affected persons are a party to the settlement.

Pursuant to order dated 28.07.2017, the parties appeared before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar and their statements were recorded on 10.08.2017. Respondent No.2 stated that she has amicably resolved the matter with the accused petitioner. Petition under Section 13B of the Act is stated to have been filed by her as well as the petitioner. Respondent No. 2 further stated that keys of the residential house (belonging to her father-in-law) would be handed over at the time of recording of final statement in the proceedings under Section 13B of the Act. Respondent No.2 stated that she has no objection to the quashing of the abovesaid FIR qua the petitioner. Statement of the petitioner in respect to the compromise was also recorded.

As per report dated 14.08.2017 received from the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, it is opined that the compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary and arrived at out of free will of the parties. The petitioner is not reported to be a proclaimed offender. Statements of the parties are appended alongwith the said report.

It is informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the keys of the residential house of the petitioner's father have since been handed over by respondent No. 2.

Mr. G.B.S. Dhillon, Advocate had appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 on 28.07.2017 and affirmed the factum of settlement between the parties.

Learned counsel for the State submits that as the abovesaid FIR arises out of a matrimonial dispute, the State has no objection to the quashing of this FIR on the basis of a settlement arrived at between the parties.

In **Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Punjab and another** 2007 (3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052, a five member Bench of this Court has observed as under:-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “finest hour of justice”.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **B.S.Joshi and others v. State of Haryana, 2003(4) SCC 675** has observed that it becomes the duty of the Court to encourage genuine settlements of matrimonial disputes.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, it

would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovesaid FIR as no useful purpose would be served by continuance of the present proceedings. It will merely lead to wastage of precious time of the court and would be an exercise in futility.

This petition is, thus, allowed and FIR No. 117 dated 18.03.2012 under Sections 498A, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar City, alongwith all consequential proceedings are, hereby, quashed.

November 03, 2017
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No