

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20811 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.06.2017**

Dinesh Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Poonam Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Rajeev Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has come up against the order dated 28.01.2016 and 18.04.2017, whereby interim maintenance has been increased from Rs.5,000/- to Rs.10,000/- and awarded to the applicant/respondent.

A perusal of the order dated 28.01.2016 shows that petitioner was dispossessed her from the share household and now, she was residing with her parents in small flat. Hence, the trial Court ordered the petitioner to give shelter to the respondent in his residential house No.3114/02, Sector 40D, Chandigarh or in the alternative, the petitioner shall pay Rs.10,000/- per month to the respondent for her residential accommodation and petitioner should pay Rs.6,000/- per month to the respondent for her maintenance, from the date of application which was already awarded Rs.5,000/- as interim maintenance to the respondent/wife. Ultimately, petitioner was directed to pay Rs.10,000/- to the respondent vide order 28.01.2016. The appellate Court has found no error and also upheld the judgment dated 28.01.2016 given by the trial Court.

So in the light of the discussion, the amount of maintenance of Rs.10,000/- is not on the higher side and the orders of the Courts below do not reflect any material irregularity or perversity which warrant interference. Hence the present revision stands dismissed.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

02.06.2017

anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>