

237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20744 of 2017

Date of decision: November 07, 2017

Lakhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. M.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of Criminal Case No.RT 241 of 16.09.2013 in FIR No.156 dated 25.11.2012, under Sections 304-A, 279, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 02.06.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and reports have been received by this Court. Looking to the reports it is

seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioner. Looking to the nature of the offence, I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. NO.M-20744 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) The Criminal Case No.RT 241 of 16.09.2013 in FIR No.156 dated 25.11.2012, under Sections 304-A, 279, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Kotkapura, District Faridkot, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioner.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 07, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No