

**CRM-M-20721-2017 and
other connected petitions**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:11.07.2017

1. CRM-M-20721-2017

M/s Vijay Agencies through its Prop. Sh. Manish Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s C.M.Associates Pvt. Ltd. Company

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-20725-2017

M/s Vijay Agencies through its Prop. Sh. Manish Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s C.M.Associates Pvt. Ltd. Company

... Respondent

3. CRM-M-20760-2017

M/s Vijay Agencies through its Prop. Sh. Manish Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s C.M.Associates Pvt. Ltd. Company

... Respondent

**CRM-M-20721-2017 and
other connected petitions**

4. CRM-M-20773-2017

M/s Vijay Agencies through its Prop. Sh. Manish Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s C.M.Associates Pvt. Ltd. Company

... Respondent

AND

5. CRM-M-20774-2017

M/s Vijay Agencies through its Prop. Sh. Manish Gupta and another

... Petitioners

Versus

M/s C.M.Associates Pvt. Ltd. Company

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. S.P.Soi, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all the petitions.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of above-mentioned five cases as they are connected with each other and point for determination in all the cases is the same.

Petitioners-M/s Vijay Agencies through its proprietor and Manish Gupta have filed these petitions under Section 482 read with

**CRM-M-20721-2017 and
other connected petitions**

Section 407 Cr.P.C. for transferring the complaint Nos.COMP/4559/2016, COMP/4558/2016, COMP/4556/2016, COMP/4560/2016 and COMP/4557/2016 dated 08.07.2016, under Section 138 of the N.I.Act, titled as 'M/s C.M.Associaties Pvt. Ltd. Company Vs. M/s Vijay Agencies & another', pending in the Court of Sh. Kanwal Kumar, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, to any competent court at Nakodar or Jalandhar.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the record.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners have given the cheques in dispute to the respondent at Jalandhar, which sent the same to the head office at Chandigarh and the complaints have been filed at Chandigarh. However, learned counsel for the petitioners did not contest before this Court about the jurisdiction of the court at Chandigarh. He only argued that the accused have to travel from Jalandhar to Chandigarh and in view of convenience of the petitioners, the aforesaid complaints may be transferred to Jalandhar.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, I find that there is no law to see the convenience of the accused for transfer of the case. There is no special ground for seeing the convenience of the accused. Moreover, the accused are not ladies. The accused have nowhere pleaded that they are suffering from any disease. No substantial ground has been given for transfer of the case. Merely for the

**CRM-M-20721-2017 and
other connected petitions**

convenience of the accused, a case cannot be transferred.

Therefore, finding no merit in all these petitions, the same
are dismissed.

11.07.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No