

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20708 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 03, 2017

Sulakhan Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for respondent Nos.6 to 12.

Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.13 and 14.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

A perusal of the petition shows that the petitioner claims that respondent Nos.5 to 14 are harassing him by taking forcible possession over his land by putting barbed wire around the land and further destroying his crop. The petitioner also alleges criminal trespass.

It being so, the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is not proper form for raising such plea. If some crime is committed, let the petitioner make a complaint before the concerned S.H.O., who shall look into the matter, in accordance with law without being influenced by the fact that the petitioner had approached this Court. If some dispute regarding land exists, the petitioner is always at liberty to approach the civil court.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 03, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No