

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-2160 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 8th MAY, 2017

Manu Suri

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CRL. MISC. No.M-7563 OF 2016

Pancham Noor Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. R. S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioner-Manu Suri.

 Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate
 for the petitioner-Pancham Noor Singh.

 Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Both these petitions are being disposed of by this common order.

The petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.142 dated 05.11.2015 registered under Sections 307, 323 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Division No.3, District Jalandhar.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioners Manu Suri and Pancham Noor Singh were granted interim bail by this court vide separate orders dated 21.01.2016 and 01.03.2016 respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) have invited my attention to the nature of injuries. I have seen the injuries as described in MLR. Prima facie, there is no co-relation between the discussion of incident and firing of the gun shot, with the injury. Apart from that, the trial Court had also observed in para 2 of its order that the complainant had left the hospital at his own responsibility and had never subject himself to further probe of injuries though it was so advised.

In view of the above and the time period passed, no purpose will be served in vacating the interim orders. Accordingly, interim orders dated 21.01.2016 and 01.03.2016 are made absolute.

Both the petitions stand disposed of.

8th MAY, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>