

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

135

**CRM-M No.2206 of 2017
Date of Decision: 23.01.2017**

LEENA KUMARI & ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The case of the petitioners is that they are major and have contracted marriage on 20.01.2017. The marriage certificate and the photographs evidencing marriage have been placed on the file. It is alleged that they apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 to 6. To seek redressal of their grievance, they have submitted representation dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl., A.G., Punjab accepts notice.

Without commenting on the age of the petitioners and validity of their marriage, directions are given to the Senior

Superintendent of Police, Mohali, to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) within one month from today, keeping in view the threat perception of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that if, any criminal case is pending against the petitioners, then this order shall not debar the respondents from proceeding in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

23.01.2017
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No