

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20607-2017
Date of decision-02.06.2017**

Gaurav Bajaj and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S.Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code (in short Cr.P.C) has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.38 dated 18.05.2016 registered under Sections 63 and 65 of Copy Right Act, 1957 at Police Station Daresi, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in the instant case, the offences are bailable and they have been appearing before the trial Court regularly. Learned counsel informs that the petitioners could not appear before the trial Court, however, an application for exemption of appearance was circulated and the same was declined by the trial Court vide order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-2). He further contends that the petitioners have not misused the bail and non-bailable warrants have been issued. The absence of the petitioners from the process of the Court was neither intentional nor wilful.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

Considering the nature of offence, it is ordered that in case the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within one week from today, he be admitted to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds, to its satisfaction.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

June 02, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No