

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -20597 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.07.2017

Raghav Goel and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate,
Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Dhananjay Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.
assisted by ASI Subhash Chand.

Ms. Harsimran Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. L.S. Chahal, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.0376 dated 11.07.2016, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

On 07.06.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Concededly there are arbitration proceedings pending which is borne out from Annexure P5. The complainant has not filed any counter claim even though

he alleges that petitioners owe them money. Be that as it may, once the parties have resorted to their civil dispute and arbitration proceedings are pending, I deem it appropriate to adjourn the matter for 05.07.2017.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioners shall remain stayed subject to their complying with the following conditions:-

- 1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. that they shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- 3. that they shall not leave India without the prior permission to the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 07.06.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation and a compromise has also been reached between the parties.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has duly acknowledged the factum of compromise.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 07.06.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail

bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

05.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No