

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-20591 of 2017
Date of Decision : 10.07.2017

Vikas and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No. 172, dated 30.04.2017, under Sections 323, 325, 506, 34 IPC (Offence under Section 365 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the injury attributed to petitioner No. 1, namely, Vikas, who is alleged to have been armed with a rod, is on the left hand of the complainant while petitioner No. 2, namely, Vishal, is stated to have given a *danda* blow on the right foot of the complainant. He contends that the offence under Section 365 IPC was added lateron after the supplementary statement of the complainant was recorded and there is no mention about abduction of the complainant in the FIR. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioners are in custody for over two months.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, states that the complainant has been brutally assaulted by the petitioners and hence, do not deserve the concession of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are stated to have caused injuries on non-vital parts of the body of the complainant and have been in custody for over two months.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioners, namely, Vikas and Vishal are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 10, 2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No