

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.20568 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.06.2017**

Baksho @ Preeti

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajaib Singh, Addl.AG, Punjab.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.32 dated 07.02.2017 registered under Sections 363, 366-A IPC read with Section 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of doubt. There is no evidence of her involvement in the incident. He further submits that the petitioner is a married woman having two minor children.

Learned State counsel has not been able to controvert the aforesaid facts.

Having regard to the aforesaid, present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

08.06.2017

sonia

1.Whether speaking/non-speaking?

Yes/No

2.Whether reportable?

Yes/No