

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21408 of 2016

Date of Decision: 28.03.2017

BHIM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:None for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in this petition is for issuance of necessary directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 in the context of protection of lives and personal liberties of the petitioner and his wife from being invaded by their son Krishan Kumar-respondent No.4.

Allegations have been made that respondent No.4 intended to grab the property by way of fraudulent means and also threatened them of dire consequences. The representations dated 16.10.2015 made by the petitioner to Superintendent of Police, Hisar did not yield any positive response.

Notice of motion was issued in the present case on 17.06.2016 and in response to the notice, a reply by way of affidavit of Narender Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hansi on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed

in which statement of Smt. Sheela wife of the petitioner has been attached to the effect that Krishan is living in Rohtak, whereas whereabouts of second son Surya are not known. Krishan did not come to the house of the petitioner, nor has confined them in a room.

Wife of the petitioner, who is mother of respondent No.4 has denied the allegations of the petitioner in the context of any threat perception. Although statement of the petitioner has also been recorded by the Police, wherein he has reiterated the threat perception.

Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to keep constant vigil over the present set of circumstances for some considerable time. In case, he is satisfied that there is no intended threat perception on behalf of respondent No.4, then the petitioner may be informed accordingly. If respondent No.2 finds that there is some threat perception at the instance of respondent No.4, then suitable action be taken in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 28, 2017

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No