

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 206

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-2050 of 2017

Date of Decision : March 06, 2017

Vikash		Petitioner
vs.		
State of Haryana		Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. G. S. Jagpal, Advocate
for Mr. R. A. Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Through this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.200 dated 08.08.2016, registered under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, at Police Station Bawani Khera, District Bhiwani.

While issuing notice of motion on 24.01.2017, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Seeking anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he is an

attesting witness to the sale deed dated 14.10.2013, which is alleged to have been executed on the basis of a forged General Power of Attorney; the beneficiaries of the sale deed, who are the main accused, have already been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court and that under the interim order of this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has fully co-operated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Wazir Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and at this stage, is no longer required for further questioning by the investigating agency.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and order dated 24.01.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered as an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

If the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal act or misusing the concession of bail granted by this Court, it would be open to the State to seek cancellation of bail granted through this order by filing an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 06, 2017

monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>