

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.20486 of 2017
Date of Decision:02.06.2017**

Sukhwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for safeguarding his life and personal liberty.

By referring to number of instances in the background of political rivalry between the parties, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the context of occurrence dated 16.04.2017, grievance has been espoused by the petitioner before respondent No.4, but no action has been taken. In respect of occurrence dated 16.04.2017 as well as 22.05.2017, a composite representation was also moved before respondent No.3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4-State. Let

4 copies of the petition be supplied to learned State Counsel during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon reply.

At this stage, this petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to take notice of pending representations before him. In case any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then respondent No.4 can be obligated to take necessary action in accordance with law and if no cognizable offence is found to have been committed, the petitioner can be informed as per terms laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

02.06.2017
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No