

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-20402 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.07.2017

Nitin

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.
assisted by ASI Dinesh Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 241 dated 17.07.2016, registered under Sections 399, 402 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station City Dadri, District Bhiwani.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR. Neither any specific role nor any recovery was effected from the petitioner. The challan stands presented. The petitioner is in custody since 18.07.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner is a habitual offender and he is involved in 5/6 other FIRs.

Heard.

Considering the fact that neither the petitioner was arrested from the spot nor any recovery had been effected from him; the investigation stands concluded; the challan has been presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.07.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No