

**226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.20380 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 15, 2017**

Rajbala **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vineet Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the State states that after investigation, the police has prepared the cancellation report and as per the cancellation report, no offence is committed. Let the same be submitted within three weeks before the lower court.

After cancellation report is filed before the lower court, the petitioner is at liberty to file the protest petition and request the Magistrate that the case be tried as a complaint case and decide the same on merits.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 15, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No