

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-2037 of 2017
Decided on: 26.04.2017**

Kavita

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. N.S. Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Surender Pal, Advocate for the complainant.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner, sister-in-law has already joined investigation in compliance with the interim bail granted by this Court and is ready to face the proceedings, in accordance with law.

Counsel for the State concedes to this position but further submits that one chain and a pair of ear rings (gold) given to the petitioner are yet to be recovered.

Counsel for the complainant has submitted that the petitioner is also signatory to the compromise dated 07.02.2017 which the in-laws family members of the complainant failed to adhere to as they had agreed to pay an amount of Rs.35 lacs to the complainant.

On a query raised by the Court, counsel for the State would inform that two articles stated to be given to the petitioner were given to her as customary gifts and not as articles of Istridhan of the

complainant. The petitioner is sister-in-law of the complainant. Even if she is signatory to the compromise deed, the terms and conditions are required to be performed by father-in-law of the complainant whose plea for grant of anticipatory bail has been declined by this Court for his failure to do so.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions incorporated in the order dated 23.01.2017.

26.04.2017
yakub

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No