

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

295

**CRM-M-20326 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:16.08.2017**

RENI MALHOTRA AND ANR.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Rabinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.

Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.0033 dated 24.01.2017 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, on the basis of compromise dated 27.04.2017 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute between the family members, which has now been resolved with the intervention of the respectables.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated

01.06.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial

Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of SDJM, Bahadurgarh dated 15.07.2017 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 has handed-over a demand draft of Rs.6 lacs to learned counsel for the petitioner(s) in Court as full and final settlement of the dispute. Photocopy of the draft is taken on record.

The FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute between the family members, which has now been resolved with the intervention of the respectables.

In view of the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.0033 dated 24.01.2017, under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

16.08.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No