IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.20323 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.06.2017

Kiran Bala and others .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

***

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

CRM-M No.17384 of 2017 titled Partiksha and another Vs. State of Punjab and others was filed by respondents No.4 and 5 in respect of protection qua their lives and personal liberties. The said petition was disposed of vide order dated 16.05.2017 with the following operative part of the order:-

> *"The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any observation made by the Court in terms of pleadings at this stage. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law in case they are involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners."*

Now the petitioners have approached this Court alleging

Prince Saini
2017.06.02 18:03
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

that they are being harassed by the official respondents by calling them in the police station repeatedly without any rhyme and reasons, particularly when the parents of respondent No.4 have already disinherited her and have no intention to interfere in the alleged marriage of respondents No.4 and 5.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioners have filed a representation dated 22.05.2017 before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Let 3 copies of the petition be supplied to learned State Counsel during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling upon reply.

At this stage, petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners in view of their representation filed on 22.05.2017 independently without being influenced by any of the pleadings made in the petition and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

02.06.2017
prince

Whether Reasoned/Speaking **Yes/No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/No**

Prince Saini
2017.06.02 18:03
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh