

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CRM-M -20212-2017
Date of Decision: 18.08.2017

Krishan Lal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Arvind Singh Sangwan

Present: Mr.Vikram Vir Sharda,Advocate for
Mr.Amit Parashar,Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.R.P.Khaira, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.
Mr.Namit Gauttam,Advocate for respondent No.2

Arvind Singh Sangwan, J.

Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No. 26 dated 6.3.2017 under Sections 323/324 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short), registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 9.5.2017 (Annexure P1).

Vide order dated 1.6.2017, Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to record the statements of the parties and send its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise effected between the parties and also to report .

In pursuance to the said order, the trial Court on 15.6.2017 , after recording the statements of the parties, has reported that the complainant-Sunny and accused-Vikramjeet @ Vicky, Salim and Krishan Lal have appeared and identified by their respective counsel representing them in the trial Court and that the compromise effected between the parties was voluntarily and without any coercion, pressure .

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,** High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court felt that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of any Court or to otherwise secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 of the Code. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in “**Gian Singh vs State of Punjab and another**”, **2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

Since the parties have arrived at a compromise and have decided to live in peace, no useful purpose would be served in allowing the

criminal proceedings to continue.

Accordingly, both the petitions are allowed. FIR No.26 dated 6.3.2017 under Sections 323/324 read with Section 34 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar, along with all the consequential proceedings, arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed .

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

August 18, 2017

arya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No