

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20157 of 2017

Date of Decision : 24.11.2017

Surjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ramandep Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.64, dated 6th April, 2017 under Sections 341/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code (and later on added offence under Sections 308/325 of the IPC) registered at Police Station Sadar, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1/T), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, on the basis of compromise.

2. During pendency of petition, the complainant/respondents No.4 and 5 have arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana vide report dated 24th August, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 4 to 5 are represented by their counsel.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant/respondents No.4 and 5 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed.

F.I.R No.64, dated 6th April, 2017 under Sections 341/323/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code (and later on added offence under Sections 308/325 of the IPC) registered at Police Station Sadar, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1/T), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

November 24, 2017
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No