

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.9109 of 1999
Date of Decision: February 02, 2017

Natha Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab, Chandigarh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Munish Garg, Advocate for
Mr.C.M.Munjal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G.Punjab,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This case has been placed by the Registry on account of the fact
that service upon respondent No.4 has not been effected.

The writ petition stands admitted vide order dated 5.9.2000.

The writ petition has been filed at the instance of Natha Singh
petitioner seeking challenge to appointment of respondent No.3-I-Dan as
Lambardar. The order for appointment of respondent No.3 as Lambardar has
been passed by the Financial Commissioner way back on 31.3.1999.

The case of the petitioner in the writ petition is that the
Collector appointed Natha Singh petitioner as Lambardar, but the said order
was assailed by an effected candidate by filing appeal before the
Commissioner, who had, vide order dated 24.6.1997 remanded the matter
back for the purpose of verification. The remand order was assailed before

the Financial Commissioner, who after taking into consideration Rule 15 of the Punjab Land Reforms Rules, 1909, noticed that the District Collector had given excessive weightage to education qualification, whereas I-Dan appointed as Lambardar has been found working as Sarbarah Lambardar, therefore, he qualified the services rendered to the State.

It would be too late in a day to up set the findings of the Financial Commissioner as there is no interim stay, in essence respondent No.3 continued to be worked as Lambardar.

No ground for interference is made out.

Writ petition stands dismissed.

February 02, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No