

**210(2) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-20112 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2017.

Puran Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. K.B. Raheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. AS Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Saini, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.169 dated 03.07.2015 registered under Sections 302, 323 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel contends that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The prosecution has alleged three different occurrences of the same day. The name of the petitioner has been mentioned by Dayal Singh, whose statement was recorded on 16.07.2015, after a gap of 15 days.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant oppose the prayer made by the petitioner.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the FIR is of the year 2015 and the petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender and has evaded the process of Court for two years.

No case for anticipatory bail to proclaimed offender is made out.

In State of M.P Vs. Pradeep Sharma 2014 (1) RCR (Crl) 269, it has been held that an accused who is declared absconder/proclaimed offender and is not cooperating with the investigation should not be granted anticipatory bail.

Even otherwise, it has come in the statement of Dayal Singh that the petitioner had inflicted hockey blow on his forehead.

Petition stands dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No