

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM No.M-20040 of 2017
Date of decision:1.6.2017

Dinesh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Abhinav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no specific role has been attributed to any person and even if any specific injury has been attributed, that has been attributed to petitioner no.3, Ankit only, on the person of Kishan Lal.

He further submits that the petitioners had been admitted to bail earlier upon an application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., before the offence under Section 302 was added upon the death of Vishal.

Having considered the aforesaid argument, but seeing the fact that the petitioners are shown to be a part of a large gang of persons, armed with rods, brick bats, lathis and dandas, who mercilessly beat a man, eventually leading to his death, in the opinion of this Court, it is not a case where the concession of anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioners.

Dismissed.

1.6.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No