

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-19994 of 2017(O&M)
DECIDED ON : 27.07.2017**

HARPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Deipa Asdhir Dubey, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM NO. 23261 OF 2017

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and documents Annexures P-4 to P-6 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.76 dated 03.08.2016 under Sections 272/273/420/467/468/471/120-B IPC read with Section 61 of Excise Act, registered at Police Station Panjokhra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he along with his co-accused, used to sell adulterated and spurious liquor in vehicles which were in the name of a fake transport company. The petitioner is also involved in several other cases including FIR

No.171 of 2012 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B and 61 of Excise Act, P.S. Nehru Colony, Sadar Dehradun, FIR No.133 of 2016 under Sections 420/467/468/471/120-B IPC and 61 of Excise Act, P.S. Pipli, District Kurukshetra and FIR No.43 of 2011 under Sections 323/324/148/149 IPC P.S. Ghanour.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and therefore, I do not deem it a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JULY 27, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO