

Sr. No.210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M- 19887 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.06.2017

Tejinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in FIR No.03 dated 17.01.2017, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station, SSOC, Amritsar.

FIR came to be registered on the basis of secret information that one Harcharan Singh @ Harry along with his associates namely Daljeet Singh and Gurpreet Singh have formed a group and are duping innocent people of their hard earned money on the pretext of getting them employment in Punjab Police and other Government Departments.

As per secret information, Jaspal Singh, Anita, Harmanjeet Singh, Udham Singh, Simran and other persons were also stated to be involved in such racket. Allegations were also that these accused persons are preparing fake medical certificates etc.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner would contend that the name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR.

Petitioner has faced incarceration since 20.01.2017.

Investigation in the case is complete and even challan has been presented.

During the course of hearing, counsel for the petitioner has adverted to the final investigation report and as per which, the only piece of evidence collected by the Investigating Agency to involve the present petitioner in the present case is that blank forms for conducting a medical examination have been recovered from his house.

That apart, learned State counsel would submit that during the course of investigation, statement of two Doctors namely Dr. Davinder Singh Walia and Dr. Arora had been recorded and as per which, the present petitioner had got certain prospective candidates for conducting of medical examination.

Be that as it may, it is not the case of prosecution as regards any entrustment of money to the present petitioner at the hands of the prospective candidates who were wanting to secure employment.

Without making any observations on merits, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Amritsar.

Petition disposed of.

02.06.2017

anju rani

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*