

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19874 of 2017

Date of decision: August 10, 2017

Avneet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Bal, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.0064 dated 03.05.2017, under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kamboj, District Amritsar Rural, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 31.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The Power of Attorney was given on 09.05.2008 in favour of the petitioner by the complainant. The fact has been verified and found to be true during investigation. Using that Power of Attorney, the petitioner sold the property of her brother.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the petition for grant of bail on the ground that after got the Power of Attorney on her name, petitioner got the property conveyed in her own name.

Be that as it may, the fact that the complainant had given the Power of Attorney having been found to be correct, the interim order dated 31.05.2017 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

Learned counsel for the States of Punjab and Haryana as well as learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh are directed to cause implementation of the judgment of the Supreme Court in respect of conveyance, transfer of properties by Power of Attorneys. The compliance report be submitted to this Court on 17.08.2017.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the States of Punjab and Haryana as well as learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 10, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No