

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-20714 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.7.2017

Beant Singh and others Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The petitioners have sought protection of life and liberty and for restraining respondents No. 3 to 7 from calling them to CIA Staff, Patiala, or to Police Station Julkan, District Patiala, on the pretext of investigation pertaining to a civil case.

It comes out that there was a dispute regarding sale of property. The petitioners claim that on account of said dispute, they are being harassed.

The State has informed the Court today that FIR No. 165 under Sections 406, 420 IPC was registered against petitioners on 27.8.2016, in which the petitioners have been declared proclaimed offenders.

Since the petitioners have been declared proclaimed offenders, the police is duty bound to conduct the raid and arrest them. In these circumstances, the police cannot be restrained from apprehending the petitioners, who are now declared proclaimed offenders. However, if the petitioners feel that the police had done some favour and registered a false

CRM No. M-20714 of 2016 (O/M)

case on false grounds, it is always open to them to seek the quashing of FIR and also seek bail from the appropriate Court.

In view of the matter, the petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No