

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-19801 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 30, 2017

Anand Singh Anand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. M.K. Bhatnagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, AAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.26 dated 29.01.2015, under Section 304-B of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein, who is the brother-in-law of the deceased, was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 10.12.2016. It is submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that charges have been framed and conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient time, therefore, petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-

State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the bail application, while submitting that charges have been framed in this case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner, he is not required for any further investigation and that charges have been framed, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the custody. Therefore, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

August 30, 2017
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No