

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.19800 of 2017

Date of Decision: 31.05.2017

RAJEPAL

.....Petitioner

vs.

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the brother of the petitioner Prem son of Ram Balak died in mysterious circumstances. His wife Meena is having illicit relationship with respondent No.5 and the aforesaid persons were instrumental in causing death of brother of the petitioner.

Precisely for the grievance in question, complaint was filed before respondent No.3 on 03.04.2017 and petitioner has also moved a representation before respondent No.2 on 22.05.2017 in compliance to the provisions in terms of Section 154(1) & (2) Cr.P.C. Till date no action has been taken.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh., accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the pleadings contained in the present petition, respondent No.2 is directed to take into consideration the pending representation moved by the petitioner on 22.05.2017 and take appropriate action in accordance with law, without being swayed away by any consideration in terms of pleadings or anything recorded in the preceding part of the order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 31, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No