

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19764 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.07.2017

Rahul

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Satbir.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.294 dated 29.04.2014, registered under Sections 148, 302, 307, 449, 450, 120-B read with Section 149 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He refers to the testimony of PW-10 Sandeep, brother of the complainant whereby no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. He further states that there are material contradictions in the statements of material witnesses. The petitioner is in custody since 24.05.2014. The conclusion of trial will

take a long time.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the 2nd bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. Perusal of the record would reveal that the name of the petitioner has been specifically figured in the statement of complainant Vikas, friend of deceased Mahesh, whereby he had stated that Rahul along with other companions fired shots upon him and Mahesh with intention to kill them. Therefore, considering the role of the petitioner and gravity of offence, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.07.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No